



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,256	11/28/2000	John DeSalvo	CSD-173 (51100)	7913 .
7590 02/06/2004			EXAMINER	
RICHARD K. WARTHER, ESQ. Allen, Dyer, Doppelt, Milbrath & Gilchrist, P.A. 255 S. Orange Avenue, Suite 1401 P.O. Box 3791 Orlando, FL 32802-3791			PHAN, HANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2633	15
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/724,256	DESALVO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hanh Phan	2633
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under N 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) <u>21-31</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-14 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>5 and 15</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	own from consideration.	
Application Papers		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing of the held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing (s) is objection is required if the drawing (s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 11/17/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 6-8, 11, 13 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyata et al (US Patent No. 6,366,376) in view of Grandpierre (US Patent No. 5,854,704).

Regarding claim 1, referring to figure 1, Miyata discloses an optically amplified receiver (i.e., an optically amplified receiver 14, Fig. 1) comprising:

an optical preamplifier (i.e., optical amplifier 32, Fig. 1) for receiving an optical communications signal over a fiber optic communications line;

a bandpass filter (i.e., optical bandpass filter 34, Fig. 1) operatively connected to the optical preamplifier (32) for receiving the optical communications signal;

a detector (i.e., a detector 36, Fig. 1) for receiving the optical communications signal from the bandpass filter (34) and converting the optical communications signal into an electrical communications signal (col. 5, lines 35-67 and col. 6, lines 1-10).

Art Unit: 2633

Miyata differs from claim 1 in that he fails to teach an amplifier circuit and the detector is a PIN detector. However, Grandpierre in US 5,854,704 teaches an optically amplifier receiver (Fig. 3) comprises an amplifier circuit (i.e., amplifier 44, Fig. 3) and the detector (41)(Fig. 3) is a PIN detector (col. 3, lines 10-67 and col. 4, lines 1-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the amplifier circuit and PIN detector as taught by Grandpierre in the system of Miyata. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Grandpierre suggests in column 3, lines 10-67 and column 4, lines 1-33 that using such amplifier circuit and PIN detector have advantage of allowing amplifying the signal to a desired level and increasing the sensitivity of the photoreceiver.

Regarding claim 11, it would have been obvious to obtain a printer card assembly containing the optical preamplifier, PIN detector and amplifier circuit as an integrated receiver assembly in order to reduce size, weight, space, power consumption and cost of the whole system.

Regarding claims 3 and 13, the combination of Miyata and Grandpierre differs from claims 3 and 13 in that it does not specifically teach the PIN diode is operative at about 3.3 volts. However, it is well known in the art that there is inherent a high power supply voltage or a low power supply voltage providing for a photodiode (PIN photodiode or APD photodiode) to bias. Whether to use a high power supply voltage or a low power supply voltage providing for a PIN photodiode to bias would have been within the knowledge of a person having ordinary skill in the art and would have been an

Art Unit: 2633

obvious engineering design choice. Moreover, providing a low power supply voltage for a photodiode have advantage of allowing increasing the sensitivity of the photodiode and reduce the power consumption. Therefore, it would have been obvious to obtain the PIN diode is operative at about 3.3 volts in order to provide a photodetector having a high speed of response to light and lower power.

Regarding claims 6 and 16, Miyata further teaches the optical preamplifier (32, Fig. 1) is connected to a single wavelength optical communications line.

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Miyata further teaches the optical communications signal that is received over the optical communications line comprises a wavelength division multiplexed optical communications signal (Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 8 and 18, Miyata teaches further comprising a demultiplexer (30)(Fig. 1) operatively connected to the preamplifier and band pass filter for demultiplexing the wavelength division multiplexed optical communications signal.

4. Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyata et al (US Patent No. 6,366,376) in view of Grandpierre (US Patent No. 5,854,704) and further in view of Vanoli et al (US Patent No. 5,943,147).

Regarding claims 2 and 12, the combination of Miyata and Grandpierre differs from claims 2 and 12 in that it does not specifically teach the bandpass filter is a tunable bandpass filter. However, Vanoli teaches a bandpass filter (11a)(Fig. 1) is a tunable bandpass filter (col. 7, lines 45-67, col. 8, lines 1-40 and col. 11, lines 20-48).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2633

invention was made to incorporate the tunable bandpass filter as taught by Vanoli in the system of the combination of Miyata and Grandpierre. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Vanoli suggests in column 7, lines 45-67, col. 8, lines 1-40 and col. 11, lines 20-48 that using such a tunable bandpass filter has advantage of allowing selecting the wanted signal and eliminating the unwanted signals and the noise signals and to increase the signal to noise ratio.

5. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyata et al (US Patent No. 6,366,376) in view of Grandpierre (US Patent No. 5,854,704) and further in view of Hatakeyama (US Patent No. 5,517,351).

Regarding claims 4 and 14, the combination of Miyata and Grandpierre differs from claims 4 and 14 in that it fails to teach a laser for pumping the optical and a laser driver interfaced with the laser used for pumping the optical preamplifier. However, Hatakeyama teaches a laser (i.e., a pumping semiconductor laser 7, Fig. 1) for pumping the optical preamplifier (i.e., an erbium-doped optical fiber 2, Fig. 1) and a laser driver (i.e., a driving circuit for pumping semiconductor laser 16, Fig. 1) interfaced with the laser used for pumping the optical preamplifier (col. 3, lines 24-67 and col. 4, lines 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the laser for pumping the optical and a laser driver interfaced with the laser used for pumping the optical preamplifier as taught by Hatakeyama in the system of the combination of Miyata and Grandpierre. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Hatakeyama

Art Unit: 2633

suggests in column 3, lines 24-67 and col. 4, lines 1-3 that using such a laser for pumping the optical and a laser driver interfaced with the laser used for pumping the optical preamplifier have advantage of allowing increasing the power level of signal to maintain the output level and reducing the loss of the signal.

6. Claims 9, 10, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyata et al (US Patent No. 6,366,376) in view of Grandpierre (US Patent No. 5,854,704) and further in view of Ohhata et al (US Patent No. 6,304,357).

Regarding claims 9 and 19, the combination of Miyata and Grandpierre differs from claims 9 and 19 in that it fails to teach an electronic limiting amplifier. However, Ohhata teaches an electronic limiting amplifier (Fig. 7, col. 4, lines 1-67, col. 5, lines 1-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the electronic limiting amplifier as taught by Ohhata in the system of the combination of Miyata and Grandpierre. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Ohhata suggests in column 4, lines 1-67, col. 5, lines 1-12 that using such an electronic limiting amplifier has advantage of allowing reshaping the receiving signal, reducing the distortion of the signal, amplifying the signal and adjusting the gain to a fixed level.

Regarding claims 10 and 20, the combination of Miyata, Grandpierre and Ohhata teaches the amplifier circuit comprises a decision circuit (45) and clock recovery circuit (52)(Fig. 3 of Grandpierre) for retiming the electrical communication signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/724,256 Page 7

Art Unit: 2633

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 8. Claims 21-31 are allowed.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

-With respect to claims 5, 15 and 21-26, the prior art fails to teach and render obvious an optically amplified receiver comprising: a low noise, gain flattened, erbium doped optical preamplifier for receiving an optical communications signal over an optical communications line; a bandpass filter operatively connected to said optical preamplifier for receiving the optical communications signal, selecting a single channel, and filtering out noise produced by the optical preamplifier; a laser driver operatively connected to said optical preamplifier and bandpass filter for driving said preamplifier and comprising, an injection laser diode; a current source control loop circuit connected to said injection laser diode that establishes a fixed current through the injection laser diode; and a voltage switcher circuit connected to said injection diode and current source control loop circuit, said voltage switcher circuit adapted to receive a fixed supply voltage and convert inductively the supply voltage down to a forward voltage to bias the laser diode and produce an optical output into the preamplifier having minimized power losses; and

Art Unit: 2633

an optical-to-electrical conversion circuit operatively connected to said preamplifier for converting the optical communications signal into an electrical communication signal.

-With respect to claims 27-31, the prior art fails to teach and render obvious an optically amplified receiver comprising: a low noise, gain flattened erbium doped optical preamplifier for receiving a wave division multiplexed optical signal over a single optical communications line; a bandpass filter operatively connected to said optical preamplifier for receiving the optical signal, selecting a channel, and filtering out noise produced by the optical preamplifier; a laser driver operatively connected to said optical preamplifier and bandpass filter and comprising, an injection laser diode; a current source control loop circuit connected to said injection laser diode that establishes a fixed current through the injection laser diode; and a voltage switcher circuit connected to said injection diode and current source control loop circuit, said voltage switcher circuit adapted to receive a fixed supply voltage and convert inductively the supply voltage down to a forward voltage to bias the laser diode and produce an optical output into the preamplifier having minimized power losses; a demultiplexer circuit operatively connected to said low noise, gain flattened erbium doped optical preamplifier for demulitiplexing the wave division multiplexed optical signal into demultiplexed optical signals; a plurality of receiver channels for receiving the demultiplexed optical signals; and an optical-to-electrical conversion circuit positioned within each receiver channel for converting the optical signals into electrical communication signals.

Art Unit: 2633

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Onaka et al (US Patent No. 5,886,804) discloses optical transmission system.

Eskildsen et al (US Patent No. 5,959,750) discloses optical transmission system.

Meli et al (US Patent No. 5,946,117) discloses optical soliton communication system.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (703)306-5840.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (703)305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Hanh Phan 02/04/04